



# Rank the Episodes: A Simple Approach for Exploration in

# **Procedurally-Generated Environments**

#### Daochen Zha, Wenye Ma, Lei Yuan, Xia Hu, Ji Liu

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Texas A&M University AI Platform, Kwai Inc.

Email: daochen.zha@tamu.edu

Code: https://github.com/daochenzha/rapid



Texas A&M University

### **Background: Exploration is an Open Challenge for RL**

- What is exploration? The ability of the agents to discover novel states in the environments.
- Why we need exploration? Learning a good policy with reinforcement learning relies on the ability of discovering the novel states in the first place (the rewards can be sparse).
- How we can encourage exploration? The most popular method for encouraging exploration is to give intrinsic rewards based on uncertainty, e.g., count-based exploration [1], curiosity driven exploration [2], etc.



#### Montezuma's Revenge



4-room

Bellemare, Marc G., et al. Unifying count-based exploration and intrinsic motivation. NeurIPS 2016.
 Pathak, Deepak, et al. Curiosity-driven exploration by self-supervised prediction. ICML 2017.

Texas A&M University

2

### **Motivation: Exploration Needs to Generalize**

- Overfitting Issue: While Deep RL has made impressive progress in recent years, most of the previous studies use the same singleton environment for training and testing. Recent studies show that the agent trained in this way is susceptible to overfitting and may fail to generalize to even a slightly different environment [1][2].
- **Procedurally-Generated Environments:** To address this issue, some procedurally-generated environments are proposed, where a different environment is generated in each episode.



 Rajeswaran, Aravind, et al. Towards Generalization and Simplicity in Continuous Control. NeurIPS 2017.
 Zhang, Amy, Nicolas Ballas, and Joelle Pineau. A dissection of overfitting and generalization in continuous reinforcement learning. arXiv 2018.

### **Motivation: Can Intrinsic Rewards Generalize?**



- 1D Maze as a Motivating Examples: The agent (red) needs to move right in each step to reach a goal.
- Count-Based Exploration in Procedurally-Generated Environments: It is less effective because visiting a novel state does not necessarily mean a good exploration behavior

### **Motivation: Episode-Level Exploration**

• Why Humans can Generalize? Because humans often view the agent in episode-level rather than state-level. For example, one can easily tell whether an agent has well explored an environment by looking into the coverage rate of the current episode, even if the current environment is totally different from the previous ones.



# **A New Exploration Strategy that Can Generalize**

• Episode-Level Exploration: We propose Exploration via Ranking the Episodes (RAPID)



Figure 2: An overview of RAPID. The past episodes are assigned episodic exploration scores based on the local view, the global view, and the extrinsic reward. Those highly scored episodes are stored in a small ranking buffer. The agent is then encouraged to reproduce the past good exploration behaviors, i.e., the episodes in the buffer, with imitation learning.

#### **Episodic Exploration Score**

• Local Score: A local view of an episode. The number of district states in an episode divided by the total number of states in this episode.

$$S_{
m local} = rac{N_{
m distinct}}{N_{
m total}}$$

• Global Score: A score that also considers previous episodes. The mean count-based score of the current episode.

$$S_{\rm global} = \frac{1}{N_{\rm total}} \sum_{s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(s)}}$$

• Episodic Exploration Score: We further consider the extrinsic rewards. The final score is the weighted sum of the three scores.

$$S = w_0 S_{\text{ext}} + w_1 S_{\text{local}} + w_2 S_{\text{global}}$$

#### **Episode-Level Exploration via Ranking**

	Algorithm 1 Exploration via Ranking the Episodes (RAPID)					
	1: <b>Input:</b>	Fraining steps S, buffer size D, RL rollout steps $T$				
Ranking Imitation Learning	2: Initialize the policy $\pi_{\theta}$ , replay buffer $\mathcal{D}$					
	3: <b>for</b> itera	tion = 1, 2, until convergence $do$				
	4: Execu	the $\pi_{\theta}$ for T timesteps				
	5: Upda	te $\pi_{\theta}$ with RL objective (also update value functions if any)				
	• 6: <b>fo<u>r</u> ea</b>	ch generated episode $\tau$ do				
	7: Co	mpute episodic exploration score $S_{\tau}$ based on Eq. (4)				
	8: Giv	We score $S_{\tau}$ to all the state-action pairs in $\tau$ and store them to the buffer				
	9: Ra	nk the state-action pairs in $\mathcal{D}$ based on their exploration scores				
	10: <b>if</b> 2	D.length > D then				
	11: I	Discard the state-action pairs with low scores so that $\mathcal{D}.length = D$				
	12: <b>en</b>	lif				
	13: <b>for</b>	step = 1, 2,, $S  do$				
	14:	Sample a batch from $\mathcal{D}$ and train $\pi_{\theta}$ using the data in $\mathcal{D}$ with behavior cloning				
	15: <b>en</b>	l for				
	16: end f	Dr				
	17: end for					

## **Results on MiniGrid Benchmarks**



## **Results on MiniGrid Benchmarks**



- **Observation 1:** RAPID is fast, accelerating the convergence with up to 10X.
- Observation 2: RAPID is effective. Only one that works in KeyCorridor-S4-R3.

#### **Results on 3D Naviation Task**



• **Observation:** RAPID also works with raw images as inputs.

### **Results on Sparse MujoCo Tasks**

- Setting: The rewards are delayed until the last timestep of an episode.
- **Observation:** RAPID also works with continuous action spaces.



#### Texas A&M University

### **Ablation Study**

- **Observation 1:** All the three scores and the ranking buffer helps.
- Observation 2: Local score plays a key role (most of previous work only considers global view).

	RAPID	w/o local	w/o global	w/o reward	w/o buffer	w/o ranking
MR-N7S4	$ $ 0.787 $\pm$ 0.001	$ $ 0.787 $\pm$ 0.000	$0.787\pm0.001$	$0.781 \pm 0.002$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$ 0.002 \pm 0.002 $
MR-N10S4	$0.778 \pm 0.000$	$0.778 \pm 0.000$	$0.778 \pm 0.001$	$0.775\pm0.002$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$
MR-N7S8	$0.678 \pm 0.001$	$0.677\pm0.002$	$0.677 \pm 0.002$	$0.652\pm0.004$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$
MR-N10S10	$0.632\pm0.001$	$0.238 \pm 0.288$	$0.630\pm0.002$	$0.604\pm0.010$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$
MR-N12S10	$0.644\pm0.001$	$0.001\pm0.001$	$0.633 \pm 0.005$	$0.613 \pm 0.007$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$
KC-S3R2	$0.934\pm0.004$	$0.933 \pm 0.002$	$0.934 \pm 0.000$	$0.929 \pm 0.003$	$0.018\pm0.008$	$0.527 \pm 0.380$
KC-S3R3	$0.922\pm0.001$	$0.885 \pm 0.022$	$0.912 \pm 0.003$	$0.903 \pm 0.002$	$0.012\pm0.007$	$0.013\pm0.006$
KC-S4R3	$0.473\pm0.087$	$0.150\pm0.095$	$0.244 \pm 0.144$	$0.035\pm0.035$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.001 \pm 0.001$

Table 1: Performance of RAPID and the ablations on MiniGrid environments. The mean maximum returns and standard deviations are reported. MR stands for MultiRoom; KC stands for KeyCorridor. Learning curves are in Appendix C.

### **Pure Exploration on MultiRoom-N12-S10**



- **Observation 1:** RAPID works well even without extrinsic rewards.
- **Observation 2:** RIDE is indirectly optimizing local exploration score.

### Takeaways

#### Some insights:

- (1) Episode-level score could better generalize in procedurally-generated environments.
- (2) Episode-level exploration also works well in singleton setting (e.g., MuJoCo) and continuous state/action spaces.
- (3) Ranking and imitating good exploration behaviors is very effective (a good exploration behavior could be leveraged more than once)

#### **Our contributions:**

- We propose a practical algorithm, named RAPID, for efficient exploration.
- (2) Unlike traditional state-level intrinsic rewards, we approach sample efficiency for hard-exploration problems in episode-level.
- (3) Our code is made publicly available.



Code: https://github.com/daochenzha/rapid

#### Texas A&M University